2001-5 Practitioner's Docket No.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

in re application of: WILLIAM R. KOWALSKI

Application No.:

1761 09/932,622 Group No.:

Filed: August 17, 2001

R. A. Madsen Examiner:

A PROCESS TO TREAT FISH WITH TASTELESS SMOKE OR CARBON MONOXIDE

THROUGH THE RESPIRATORY AND CIRCULATORY SYSTEMS

Mail Stop RCE **Commissioner for Patents** P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REQUEST FOR CONTINUED EXAMINATION (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114)

1. Applicant hereby requests continued examination, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.114, for the above identified application.

NOTE: 37 C.F.R. § 1.114 Request for continued examination:

"(a) If prosecution in an application is closed, an applicant may request continued examination of the application by filing a submission and the fee set forth in § 1.17(e) prior to the earliest of:

- (1) Payment of the issue fee, unless a petition under § 1.313 is granted;
- (2) Abandonment of the application; or
- (3) The filing of a notice of appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 141, or the commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 145 or 148, unless the appeal or civil action is terminated.
- (b) Prosecution in an application is closed as used in this section means that the application is under appeal, or that the last Office action is a final action (\$ 1.113), a notice of allowance (\$ 1.311), or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application.

CERTIFICATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.8(a) and 1.10°

(When using Express Mail, the Express Mail label number is mandatory; Express Mail certification is optional.)

I hereby certify that, on the date shown below, this correspondence is being:

	MAILING		
X	deposited with the United States Postal Service in an envel Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450	ope addressed to Commissioner for Patents, P.O	
	37 C.F.R. § 1.8(a)	37 C.F.R. § 1.10 *	
X	🔯 with sufficient postage as first class mail. 💢 as "E	press Mail Post Office to Addressee"	
	Mailing La	bel No (mandatory)	
	TRANSMISSIO	N	
	☐ facsimile transmitted to the Patent and Trademark Office,	(703)	
		merin	
	Date: (2/13/0)		
Dat		Martin E. Hsia	
	(type or p	rint name of person certifying)	

* Only the date of filing (§ 1.6) will be the date used in a patent term adjustment calculation, although the date on any certificate of mailing or transmission under § 1.8 continues to be taken into account in determining timeliness. See § 1.703(f). Consider "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" (§ 1.10) or facsimile transmission (§ 1.6(d)) for the reply to be accorded the earliest possible filing date for patent term adjustment calculations.

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]-page 1 of 6)

12/20/2005 DEMMANU1 00000048 09932622

01 FC:2801 02 FC:2253 395.00 OP

510.00 OP

(c) A submission as used in this section includes, but is not limited to, an information disclosure statement, an amendment to the written description, claims, or drawings, new arguments, or new evidence in support of patentability. If reply to an Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111.

(d) If an applicant timely files a submission and fee set forth in § 1.17(e), the Office will withdraw the finality of any Office action and the submission will be entered and considered. If an applicant files a request for continued examination under this section after appeal, but prior to a decision on the appeal, it will be treated as a request to withdraw the appeal and to reopen prosecution of the application before the examiner. An appeal brief under § 1.192 or a reply brief under § 1.193(b), or related papers, will not be considered a submission under this section."

NOTE: An applicant may file a submission under 37 CFR 1.114 containing only an information disclosure statement (37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98) in an application subject to a notice of allowance under 35 U.S.C. § 151. An appeal brief or a reply brief (or related papers) will not be considered a submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See 37 CFR 1.114(d). The submission, however, may consist of the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief, or may simply consist of a statement that incorporates by reference the arguments in a previously filed appeal brief or reply brief. In addition, a previously filed amendment after final may satisfy this submission requirement. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A5.

NOTE: Even though an RCE is improper (e.g., because it was filed before the prosecution is closed), an amendment submitted with the RCE will still be entered and considered by the examiner since it was timely filed and responsive to the non-final Office action in compliance with 37 CFR 1.111. American Inventor's Protection Act of 1999, Question & Answer A4.

WARNING: 35 U.S.C. 132(b) and § 1.114 provide for the continued examination of an application and not examination of a continuing application). Accordingly, the Office will not permit an applicant to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.

WARNING: The provisions of 37 CFR 1.114 also do not apply (1) to a provisional application; (2) an application for a utility or plant patent filed under 35 U.S.C. 111(a) before June 8, 1995; (3) an international application filed under 35 U.S.C. 363 before June 8, 1995; (4) a patent under reexamination or (5) an application for a design patent. 37 CFR § 1.114(e).

WARNING: The PTO has pointed out why § 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. The PTO explained that since an RCE filing is a reply under 35 U.S.C. 132, the applicant may be entitled to patent term adjustment if the Office does not act on an application containing a request for continued examination under § 1.114 within four months. See 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(1)(A)(ii). Thus, the Office cannot delay action on RCE applications for three months to determine whether an information disclosure statement will be filed. The Office, however, is adopting provisions (§ 1.103(c)) for a limited suspension of action after the filing of a request for continued examination under § 1.114, for the applicant to obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement (or amendments, or an affidavit or declaration) after the filing of the RCE. See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091–50105, at page 50100 (comment 11); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13–24

WARNING: One of the time periods excluded from patent term adjustment is the time consumed by a continued examination request under 35 U.S.C. 132(b) (§ 1.114(b) (1)).

WARNING: The Office will not suspend action in an application when a raply by the applicant is outstanding. 35 U.S.C. 133 requires an applicant to "prosecute the application" within six months of an Office action (or a shorter period as set in the Office action) to avoid abandonment of the application. If an applicant files a request for continued examination but does not also provide any submission (in reply to the prior Office action) within the period for reply to the prior Office action, the application is abandoned by operation of law (35 U.S.C. 133).

The Office will treat a request for continued examination under § 1.114 containing a bona fide submission that is not fully responsive to the prior Office action under the practice set forth in § 1.135(c). In addition, under the limited suspension of action provisions of § 1.103(c), an applicant must still file a request for continued examination practice in compliance with § 1.114, but may obtain additional time (prior to the issuance of the next Office action) to provide an information disclosure statement, amendments, or an affidavit or declaration after the filing of the request for continued examination.

See, Notice of August 16, 2000, "Request for Continued Examination Practice and Changes to Provisional Application Practice; Final Rule", 65Fed. Reg., pages 50091-50105, at page 50102 (comment 20); OG: September 5, 2000, pages 13-24] Page 50102 WARNING: Section 1.97(b) does not provide that an information disclosure statement will be considered if it is filed within three months after the date of a request for continued examination under § 1.114. NOTE: There is no limit to the number of times the fee for continued examination may be submitted. Notice of March 10, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868. NOTE: Unlike a continuation application, a continued examination request can utilize the mailing procedure of 37 CFR 1.8. See 37 CFR § 1.8(a)(2)(i)(A). Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$_ TIME REQUEST IS BEING MADE 2. This request is being submitted (check appropriate item(s) below): Prior to abandonment of the application i Payment of the issue fee ☐ Prior to payment of issue fee Issue fee has been paid but a petition under § 1.313 has been granted iii. Prior to a decision on appeal to the Board of Patent Appeals & Interferences ☐ A notice is being separately sent to the Board of Patent Appeals & interferences that this Request for Continued Examination is being filed. NOTE: If such a notice is not sent to the Board then may refuse to vacate a decision rendered after the filing of the RCE but before recognition by the Office of the RCE request under § 1.114. iv.

Appeal to the U.S. Court of Appeals of the Federal Circuit under 35 U.S.C. 145 or
Commencement of a civil action under 35 U.S.C. 148 Prior to the filing of such appeal or commencement of civil action ☐ Such appeal or commencement of civil action has been terminated ENCLOSURES 3. Enclosed herewith is/are: WARNING: If reply to a final or non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 is outstanding, the submission must meet the reply requirements of § 1.111. 37 C.F.R. § 1.114(b). ☐ An information disclosure (37 C.F.R. § 1.98) ☐ Form PTO-1449 (PTO/SB/08A and 08B) New arguments □ New evidence in support of patentability ☐ Other:

Continued Prosecution Request Fee \$ _____

FEE FOR REQUEST (37 C.F.R. § 1.17(e)).

4. 1	Γhis	application	is on be	half of:							
	2	Small en	tity (and	status is still a	as small e	ntity)			. \$3	395.00	
		Other the	an a sma	li entity					. \$	790.00	
				FEE FO	R CLAIR	AS					
NO:	TE:	"The fee for co (cf. 1.53 (d)(3)	ontinued ex (ii))." See N	camination under otice of March 10	§ 1.114 (§), 2000, 65 l	1.17(e)) dos Fed Reg 14	s not inclu 865, at 14	de additio 868.	nai cla	ims fee	
		37 CFR 1.53(c	1)(3): "The fi	ling fee for a cont	inued prose	cution appli	cation filed	under this	nder this paragraph is:		
	(i) The basic filing fee as set forth in § 1.16; and										
		of any amer any amendr	ndment acc nents unde	se due based on to ompanying the re r § 1.116 unenter ontinued prosecuti	quest for an red in the pr	application for application	under this	paragrapi	h and	entry of	
5. 1	The	fee for clair	ns (37 C.	.F.R. § 1.16(b)	-(d)) has I	been calc	culated a	s shown	bek)W:	
		(Col. 1)		(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL	ENTITY		HER TI ALL E	HAN A NTITY	
		CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT		HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	RATE	ADDIT. FEE	OR RAT		DDIT. FEE	
TOTAL			MINUS	••	#	×\$25=	\$	×\$5	0 = \$		
INDEP	·. '	•	MINUS	***	=	×\$100=	\$	×\$20	00 = \$		
□FIRS	T PI	RESENTATION	OF MULTI	PLE DEP. CLAIM		+\$180=	\$	+\$36	30 = \$		
					AD	TOTAL DIT. FEE		OR TOT	_		
•	If the	ne "Highest No ne "Highest No "Highest No.	. Previously . Previously Previously I	han entry in Col. Paid for" IN THI Paid For" IN TH Paid For" (Total o	S SPACE is IS SPACE is r Indep.) is 1	less than 2 less than the highest	3, enter "3 number fo	20."		opriate	
WAF	RNIN	IQ: See 37 C.	.F.R. § 1.11	16.							
			(C	omplete (a) or	(b), as ap	plicable)					
(a)		No addition	onal fee is	s required.							
					OR						
(b)	Total additional fee required is \$ 395.00.										
			(Request f	for Continued Exa	mination (R	CE) (37 C.F	.R. § 1.114	4) [9-64] —	-page	4 of 6)	

EXTENSION OF TIME

(If an extension of time is appropriate complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

	proceedings herein are .136(a) apply.	for a patent applicati	on, and the provision	ons of 37 C.F.R.
NOTE:	37 C.F.R. § 1.704(b) "an to conclude processing or ex in excess of three months that objection, argument, or other or action was mailed or given shall be reduced by the number after the date of mailing or rejection, objection, argumen or shortened statutory period three-month period set forth	amination of an application are taken to reply to any notion request, measuring such to the applicant, in which causer of days, if any, beginning transmission of the Office of, or other request and endiful, for reply that is set in the	for the cumulative total or ce or action by the Office of three-month period from we the period of adjustmen on the day after the date communication notifying ing on the date the reply w	f any periods of time making any rejection, the date the notice it set forth in § 1.703 that is three months the applicant of the vas filed. The period,
(a) [Applicant petitions for 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(a)(1	or an extension of tim i)-(4), for the total num	e, the fees for which the nber of months che	ch are set out in cked below:
	ctension for (months) one month two months three months four months	Fee for other than small entity \$ 120.00 \$ 450.00 \$ 1,020.00 \$ 1,590.00	Fee for small entity \$ 60.00 \$ 225.00 \$ 510.00 \$ 795.00	
		Fee:	\$ 510.00	
If an	additional extension of	time is required, pleas	e consider this a pe	etition therefor.
	(check and	complete the next ite	m, if applicable)	
An extension for months has already been secured, and the paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee for the total months of extension now requested. Extension fee due with this request \$				
		OR	•	
(b) [conditional petition a	hat no extension of ti nd authorization to pa plicant has inadvertent n of time.	y the necessary fee	s to provide for
		TOTAL FEE(S) DU		
WARN	NG: The fee for continued ex	ramination under § 1.114 m	ay not be deferred. 37 (C.F.R. § 1.53(f).
	total fee(s) due is/are:			. 205 00
	Continued Prosecution Fe	· -		\$ 395.00
	ee(s) for additional claim))	\$
Ε	xtension of time fee (if a	uny) (§ 1.17(a)(1)-(4))	Total Fee(s) Due	\$_510.00 \$_905.00

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 5 of 6)

PAYMENT OF FEE(S) DUE

8. Please	e pay the fee(s) for this continu	ed examination applicat	ion as follows:
X	Check is attached for the sun	n of	\$ <u>905.00</u>
	Charge Account	the sum of	\$
	Charge Credit Card the sum		\$
	(Credit Card Payment Form (F		
Please § 1.17(a)(charge any required addition), § 1.16(b)-(d) and/or
	Account		
	Credit Card (Credit Card Payr	nent Form (PTO-2038) a	ittached).
	INVE	INTORSHIP	
	ny change of inventors must be via the 0, 2000, 65 Fed Reg 14865, at 14868.	procedure set forth in 37 CFF	R § 1.48. See Notice of March
9. This a	pplication as amended names	as inventors:	
X	the same inventors as previou	isly designated for the c	laims.
	fewer than the inventors previ this request for the deletion of are not inventors of the invent	the name or names of the	
	a person not named previousl § 1.48 is/has separately:	•	
٠	DEFERRAL (OF EXAMINATION	÷
	A request for deferral of exami examination.	nation accompanies this	s request for continued
-		m	rm
Reg. No.:	32,471	SIGNATURE OF PRACTITIO	ONER
		Martin E. Hsia	
Tel. No.: (808) 544-3835	(type or print name of practi	tioner)
		P. O. Box 939	
Customer	No.: 000055312	P.O. Address	
-49.011161		Honolulu, HI 968	08

(Request for Continued Examination (RCE) (37 C.F.R. § 1.114) [9-64]—page 6 of 6)